# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,148	06/1	6/2005	Morgan Kanflod	AC-100	9266	
Mark P. Ston	7590	06/22/2007		EXAN	EXAMINER	
1.1411111111111111111111111111111111111	25 Third Street			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
4th Floor			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, C	Γ 06905			ARTONII	TATER NOMBER	
		• •		3679		
•				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				06/22/2007	PAPER	
			·	00/22/2007	THE LIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,148	KANFLOD ET AL.	KANFLOD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Victor MacArthur	3679		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, r and will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to become	NUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  by MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23     2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims			•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete and a com	ccepted or b) objectence drawing(s) be held in allection is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received ents have been received ionity documents have leau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application r:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/539,148

Art Unit: 3679

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ponto (U.S. Patent 1,701,985).

Claim 1. Ponto discloses (fig. 2) a coupling sleeve (7, 11) connecting a threaded rock bolt (2) to an impact rock drilling machine (1), said coupling sleeve comprising a first part (lower part of 7 and 11) provided with an internal thread (internal thread receiving 6) for connection of the rock bolt, and a second part (upper part of 7 and 11) provided with an internal thread (internal thread receiving 5) for connection of the rock drilling machine, characterized in that the second part comprises a locking device (14) arranged substantially transversely to a longitudinal axis (longitudinal axis of 11) of the coupling sleeve, said locking device cooperating with a region (region of 1 receiving 14) on the rock drilling machine for preventing separation of the coupling sleeve and the rock drilling machine. Note that element (1) of Ponto is an impact rock drilling machine in as much as element (2) of applicant's invention is. Also element (2) of Ponto is a rock bolt in as much as element (1) of applicant's invention is. Applicant has failed to identify any structural differences between applicant's disclosed impact rock drilling machine (2) and that of the prior art. Applicant has similarly failed to point out any rock bolt structural differences. Note also lines 1-5 on page 1 of Ponto, which discloses drill pipe and standard

Application/Control Number: 10/539,148

Art Unit: 3679

drilling strings that are necessarily and inherently capable of impacting and drilling rock. Accordingly, the components of Ponto are rock-drilling components in as much as the applicant's invention is. Applicant is reminded that where there is physical identity between the subject matter of the claim and the prior art, the label given to the claimed subject matter does not distinguish the invention over the prior art. In re Pearson, 494 F. 2d 1399, 1403, 181 USPQ 641, 644 (CCPA 1974); In re Lemin, 326 F. 2d 437, 140 USPQ 273 (CCPA 1964).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with regard to the claim rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the prior art to Ponto does not disclose a threaded rock bolt or an impact rock-drilling machine. As pointed out in the rejection of record, merely naming the parts without any structural definition does not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. It should further be noted that patentability of a device is based on the structure of the device and not how it is intended to be used.

The applicant argues that the prior art does not include a single embodiment disclosing all of the applicant's claim limitations. This is not persuasive. As detailed in the rejection above, the Ponto embodiment shown in figure 2 discloses all of the applicant's claim limitations.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "percussion energy", "even after the coupling sleeve has been disconnected from the rock bolt", "unloaded during a percussion transmission operation", ) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although Application/Control Number: 10/539,148

Art Unit: 3679

the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Referring to coupling sleeves of impact rock drilling machines:

Sanderson U.S. Patent 1,994,792 (figs.6 and 7; p.2, right column, 11.30-36)

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (571) 272-7085.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

VLM

June 18, 2007

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600